

Relationship between Free Cash Flow and Firm Performance: Evidence from Indian Stock Market

Poornima Joshi

Ph.D Scholar

Abstract

The objective of this paper is to investigate the relationship between free cash flow and firm performance. The sample consists of non financial firms included in the S&P BSE 500 index from 2006 to 20016. The firms' performance is measured by accounting performance which is measured by return on equity (ROE). The data were subjected to statistical analysis using correlation technique. The result of the study revealed that free cash flow as the independent variable has a significant and strong positive relation with firm performance. This study suggests the use of free cash flow in evaluating performance which will help investors make good decisions.

Key Words: Free Cash Flow, Return on Equity, Firm Performance

1. Introduction

The validity of the accounting profits for listed companies is constantly questioned as they can be easily operated. Financial and investment analysts seek to find new variables to avoid these human factors. Therefore, free cash flow may be a better choice. Western accounting theorist had set off a wave of cash flow in 1980s. They believed that cash flow was a basis on measuring corporate performance and respected that 'cash is the king'. The concepts of free cash flow (FCF) or idle cash flow is initiated by Michael Jensen (1986). He defines free cash flows as the remainder of cash flows after financial providing of all projects with positive present value (Jensen, 1986:324). The free cash flow, "is defined as cash flow beyond what is necessary to maintain assets in place and to finance expected new investments" (Scott Richardson, 2006). At a more specific level, it is "the amount of operating cash flow generated in excess of the cash needed for important spending such as for capital expenditures" (Derrald, Earl, James, 2017). Free cash flows are a criterion for measuring the performance of firm which shows the amount of cash possessed by the firm after spending the amount of costs which are required for keeping or expanding the properties and other expenses. Free cash flows are important since they allow the firm to chase opportunities

which increase stockholder's assets. Without cash, expansion of new products, conducting business educations, paying cash profits to stockholders and decreasing debts are not possible (Gholamzade Ledari, 2009:10). It has become the most widely used and robust index. US SEC even requires all companies to disclose this index in their annual report. However, in the relationship between firm managers and stockholders, both parties seek the maximum amount of interest for themselves which brings about different ideas about choosing the best strategy for the firm.

However, various researches have been done to investigate that free cash flow is really the representative of the financial performance of an enterprise. While in India, the empirical research on relationship between free cash flow and financial performance of a firm are still lack of. However, this research has only analyzed the relationship between free cash flow of firm and its performance, without taking account of its investment opportunities as stated by the free cash flow theory. This study will contribute to the literature for future research. Moreover, the empirical evidence of free cash flow theory is not only important to investors, but also to firm executives in order to establish more effective management policies. It is of great significance to evaluate listed companies' performance comprehensively and objectively and promote the free cash flow's spread and application in our practice

2. Literature Review

Brush & et al (2000), studied free cash flow theory and its relationship with companies' performance and sales growth. The findings of the study revealed that the ownership of management in free cash flow generating companies have appositive role in setting negative effects of free cash flow on companies' performance ,while it provide a higher sales growth.

Yuan and Wang (2008), investigated the influence of proportion of largest shareholders on profitability of companies' sales growth. The results showed negative relationship between free cash flow and sensitivity of sales growth which is if company had higher free cash flow, the lower sensitivity of sales growth and as there is increase in the proportion of largest shareholders, sales growth sensitivity also increases.

Boumosleh (2009), studied the relationship between free cash flow, agency cost and managers right of stock purchase. The findings of the study revealed that the authority to purchase stocks given to the managers as a reward puts the interest of managers and stockholders in line with each other when free cash flow increase.

Wang (2010), investiaed the relationship between free cash flow and financial performance of companies in Taiwan. Findings of the study revealed strong and positive relationship

between free cash flow and companies' performance. The results were inconsistent with Jensen's free cash flow hypothesis.

Chung et al. (2005), Bukit and Iskandar (2009) and Mojtahedzadeh and Nahavandi (2011) concluded that firms with higher free cash flow and low growth opportunity have negative impact on their performance. Researchers revealed that issues related to agency cost may cause negative impact in the performance of firms

Iman heydari & et al (2014) investigated the relationship between free cash flow and performance of firms listed in Tehran stock exchange. Findings of the study revealed that with the increase in free cash flow there is increase in the conflict of interest between managers and property owners, which leads to decrease in firm's performance. So the results of the study are consistent with free cash flow hypothesis.

Le Long Hau (2017) examined the impact of free cash flow on performance of firms listed on Hochiminh Stock Exchange. Findings revealed positive effect of free cash flow on firm performance. However there is difference in the performance of the firms with and without investment opportunities. This shows consistency with Jensen's free cash flow theory.

Eyup Kadioglu & et al (2017) tested whether free cash flow influence the performance of the firm listed in Borsa Istanbul during the period 2009-2015. Researchers found a significant negative relationship between free cash flow and firm's performance. They also found that leverage and dividend payments have positive impact on performance. So there is relevance of free cash flow hypothesis for Turkey.

Elaine Kok Suit Lai & et al (2017) investigated the impact of free cash flow on firms performance in Malaysia for the period 2008 to 2012. Using return on asset (ROA), return on equity (ROE), Tobin's Q and the stock return as measure of performance, researchers revealed that free cash flow have negative relationship with firm performance measured by return on assets (ROA) and Tobin's Q. In addition free cash flow have significantly positive relationship with return on equity (ROE), and stock return

3. Methodology

3.1 Data

The annual financial statement figures and stock market data has been collected from the annual reports of companies, publications of the Reserve Bank of India, Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE).

3.2 Sample

The sample consists of companies included in the S&P BSE 500 index for the period 2006 to 2016, which are broad based index representing major industries in the Indian economy.

Banking and financial companies are excluded from the sample because of their unique accounting mechanism. In this study the systematic sampling technique has been used.

3.3 Variables Determining

This study established the Return on equity as dependent variables, selected free cash flow and several control variables as explanatory variables, and established the model of multivariate linear regression.

Independent variable –

Free cash flow (FCF)

Free Cash Flow (FCF) has been used as independent variable. Free Cash Flow was determined as follows: $FCF = \text{Operating income} + \text{Depreciation} - \text{Interest Expense} - \text{Income taxes} - \text{Expected Loan} - \text{Dividends}$

Dependent Variable -

Return on assets ratio (RoE)

This ratio indicates firm management in effective use of stockholders money and the power of management in increasing the value of firm in an acceptable way. In fact, the return ratio on equity of stockholders is a situation where manager controls all aspects of activity in order to achieve better and more profitable utility of all available sources of the institution. One of the main tasks of management is evaluation and expansion of stock return on equity (Moradi & Pourhasan, 2010:189). The following equations are used to estimate the return on equity.

$$ROE_{it} = NI_{it} / Equity_{it}$$

Where ROE is the return on equity, NI: net income and equity is the return for stockholders

3.4 Model estimation

To investigating the relationship between free cash flows and firm performance, linear regression model is used. Linear regressions is run with the following model

$$ROE_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 FCF_{it-1} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where,

- ROA_{it} : return on asset of i firm in the year t;
- FCF_{it} : free cash flows of i firm in the year t;
- ε_{it} : error term .

4. Research Findings

Descriptive statistical data

Variables descriptive statistics for the sample firms in Table (1) is presented.

Table 1: Descriptive statistical data of research variables

Variables	Mean	Std. Dev.	Kurtosis	Skewness	Minimum	Maximum
FCF	309.893	2379.164	129.141	2.034	-40297.130	41438.000
ROE	0.190137	0.252	233.294	5.817	-4.198	6.791

Descriptive statistics of variables is presented in table 1 which shows that Mean of independent variable which is free cash flow is (309.893) with standard deviation 2379.164 and mean value of dependent variable which is return on Equity (ROE) is 0.190 with the standard deviation of 0.252. Kurtosis and skewness values are within the limits except in the case of free cash flow.

Regression Analysis

With Return on Asset (ROE) as the dependent variable, Free Cash Flow (FCF) as an independent variable, regression equation is established.

The results of the analysis are given in table 2

Table 2 Multivariate Regression Results

Variable	B	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Sig.
Intercept	0.223	.026	8.434	0.000**
Free cash flows	0.064	.000	2.914	0.004*
R2(Adj. -R2)	0.357 (.126)			
F (Sig.)	120.202 (0.000)			

*, denotes** denote the significance levels of, 5% and 1%

According to the above regression data and statistical test data, it can get the relationship between enterprise’s free cash flow and financial performance as follow regression equation:

$$ROA_{it} = 0.223 + 0.064FCFit_{-1} + \epsilon_{it}$$

5. Discussions

Results show that the estimated coefficients of free cash flow (FCF) are found to be significantly positive at 1% level. This shows that free cash flow has significantly positive impact on firm performance. Results are in line with previous studies (E.g., Liao, 2008; Brush et al., 2000; Yungchih, 2010),

6. Conclusion

There is a significant positive correlation between the company's financial performance and free cash flow. For investors, in addition to the use of traditional financial index based on profits, more attention should be paid to the free cash flow and as it is harder to manipulation by company's manager.

8. References

1. Boumosleh, A. (2009). The Agency Cost of Free Cash Flow and Director Stock option. *Proceeding of the Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies*. 14(1), p. 2.
2. Bukit, Rina Br and TakiahMohdIskandar, (2009). Surplus Free Cash Flow, Earnings Management and Audit Committee. *Int. Journal of Economics and Management*, 3(1): 204 – 223.
3. Brush T. H., Philip B., and Margaretha H.(2000). The Free cash Flow Hypothesis for Sales Growth and Firm Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 21, pp. 455-472.
4. Chung R., Firth M., and KimJ. B., (2005) .Earning Management, Surplus Free Cash Flow, and External Monitoring. *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 58, pp. 766-776.
5. Derrald Stice, Earl K. Stice & James D. Stice. (2017). Cash Flow Problems Can Kill Profitable Companies. *International Journal of Business Administration*. 8 (6)
6. Eyup Kadioglu, Saim Kilic, Ender Aykut Yilmaz(2017). Testing the Relationship between Free Cash Flow and Company Performance in Borsa Istanbul. *International Business Research*; 10 (5); 148-158
7. Gholamzadeh Ledari M., (2009). Free cash flow, a factor for evaluating firm performance. *DonyayeEghtesad newspaper*, No 1769, p 10.

8. Iman Heydari, Milad Mirzafar and Mohammad Javadghayedi. (2014). Investigating the relationship between free cash flow and firm performance: Evidence from Tehran stock exchange. *Indian J.Sci.Res.* 4 (6): 269-279
9. Lin Yuan, Lei Wang (2008). The Hypothesis of Free Cash Flow: The Enterprise's Performance and Sales Growth, *Journal of Beijing Technology and Business University (Social Sciences Edition)*, Vol.23, p.75
10. Le Long Hau (2017). Free cashflow and firm performance: Evidence from sectoral levels for Vietnamese listed firms *International Journal of Advanced Engineering, Management and Science (IJAEMS)*.3(4): 296-300
11. Mojtahedzadeh, Vida, and Nasim Nour Ali Pour Nahavandi, 2011. FCF Agency Costs, Earnings Management, and Investor Monitoring. Social Science Research Network
12. Scott Richardson. (2006). Over-investment of free cash flow. *Review of Accounting Studies* Volume 11, pp 159–189
13. Jensen, Michael C., 1986. Agency Costs of Free Cash Flow, *Corporate Finance, and*
14. *Takeovers*. *American Economic Review*, 76: 323-329.
15. Vo Xuan Vinh and Doan Thi Le Chi, (2013). Free cash flows and firm performance of Vietnamese firms. *Journal of Economics Development*, 280: 6177.
16. Wang, G. Y. (2010). The Impacts of Free Cash Flows and Agency Costs on Firm Performance. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 3(04), 408-418. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2010.34047> Wells
17. Elaine Kok Suit Lai, Ahmed Razman Abdul Latiff, Ooi Chee Keong and Tong Chue Qun (2017) The Impact of Free Cash Flow on Firm's Performance: Evidence from Malaysia *International Business Information Management Association (IBIMA)* ISBN: 978-0-9860419-9-08-9 November 2017 Madrid, Spain.