

Assessing The Extension Of Wearing Spectacles For Refractive Error Among Government School Children

**Salah Ahmed Moussa, Research Scholar,
Department of Optometry/ Ophthalmology,
NIMS University, Rajasthan,
Jaipur, India**

**Drjaya Devendra professor,
Hod department of ophthalmology,
Nims University,
Jaipur, Rajasthan- 303121,
India**

Abstract

Introduction: Refractive error is a common eye difficulty in every age groups especially in children, it may adversely influence the academic pursuit and activities of livelihood (ADL) of the children, and it may possibly lead to visual impairment and blindness. Screening programs are intended to focus on these age bunches in school wellbeing screening programs, it is examination to lessen the obstructions among the children to obtain and routinely wear glasses, the goal of this present examination was to survey of broaden wearing display refractive among school children.

Material and methods: In the present study, population consists of children attending secondary government schools, and it is carried out to assess extend wearing spectacle refractive among school going children. The systematic selection has completed such a way that the number of males were higher than female's contestants from each school and simple random sampling method was adopted.

Results: In the present study, the frequency of refractive error was (17.25%), among children having refractive error (73%) 220 children didn't checked eye examination, unaware of their problem, (27%) 81 children had been prescribed spectacles. Study reveals that only (3%) 9 children of them were already wearing spectacle, remaining (24%) 72 children of student were not used spectacles, attributed to the lack of awareness of the parents.

Conclusion: There is need of educating student, teachers, and parent in primary recognition of refractive error and spectacle alteration to avoid development of visual impairment.

Keywords: Refractive error, frequency, children, visual impairment, blindness.

Introduction

Refractive error (RE) is a common eye problem in every age sets especially in children, therefore needs great attention from different healthcare stakeholders. This is because REs may adversely influence the academic pursuit and activities of livelihood (ADL) of the children. Also, it may lead to visual impairment and blindness, as a result it might have socioeconomic and psychological implications among children. In India, there is a dearth in appropriate child eye care strategy posing a severe difficulty to visual health of children, and there is a dire consequence for widespread services in the provinces as an essential strategy that was suggested on a national scale (Maharaj et al., 2011)⁽¹⁾.

From reports of RE Study in Children (RESC) in India appeared, hyperemia present in 7.7% of childrens and myopia in 7.4 %.⁽²⁾, An upward pattern of myopia was noted concurring with the school section (7-8 years) and 11-14 years age around pubertal development spurt.⁽³⁾ Screening programs are intended to focus on these age bunches in school wellbeing screening program are particularly asset in poor countries.⁽⁴⁾ It is an incredible test to decrease the boundaries among the children to obtain and routinely wear glasses.^(5,6)

Considering the way that 30% of Indians loses their sight earlier the age of 20 years, the significance of early recognition and treatment of visual grimness and visual disability in small children is obvious⁽⁷⁾. Visually impaired children live in the school-going age gathering speak to 25% of the populace⁽⁸⁾ and all around uncorrected refractive blunders are the primary driver of

visual impedance, consequently it turns into a significant general medical issue in younger students in India. Around the world, this condition viewed as one of the needs of the as of late propelled worldwide activity at the end of preventable blindness: Vision 2020 - The Right to the vision^(9, 10). In India, the general occurrence of refractive mistakes has been found to shift somewhere in the range of 21% and 25% of the patients going to eye outpatient office⁽¹¹⁾. Various studies conducted in different part of India had reported the frequency of RE between 20% and 25% among school going children⁽¹²⁾.

An estimated 270,000 are reported to be visually impaired children in India. Frequency of blindness children in school is expected to be 0.8/1000 in age set of 0-15 years⁽¹³⁾. Current epidemiological data point to an increased frequency of RE in children⁽¹⁴⁾.

Blindness and visual impairment by its sheer extent shapes a gigantic issue, in human affliction, yet additionally regarding practical misfortune and social weight. Blindness, with its social and monetary outcomes, speaks to a critical general medical issue in numerous pieces of the world⁽¹⁵⁾.

It is estimated that 80% of blindness is prevalent among people in developing the world and of this, 80% which are avoidable blindness. There are approximately 45.5 million people who are visually impaired globally due to URE⁽¹⁶⁾. School eye health program under vision 2020: India school screening manual comprises of identifying and referring RE students with vision problems using suitable vision screening procedures, delivering high quality refractive services, ensuring availabilities of high quality, economic and appropriate optical services, regularized follow-up visits and procedures to assist identified students in receiving appropriate care, create awareness among parents, teachers and students on the RE and increasing compliance to use of spectacles.

Material and methods

In the present study, the study population consists of children attending secondary government school aged between 11- 14 years in Thiruvallur district, Tamil Nadu state, India, with a total population of 1458 children participated. The sample size estimated by the frequency of RE as 17.25%. 1745 school children were involved in the current research. The research were approved

by the institutional ethical committee of NIMS University, Rajasthan Jaipur. After getting permission from school principal and also consent from the parents of the children were screened for REs.

Study approval was sought from the principal of school children to conduct the study in Thiruvallur district, Tamil Nadu state India. The study was explained in details to the principal of school as well as the head teachers of the school and more specifically to the children. The precise choice was done with the end goal that the number of guys were higher than female members when observed amongst each of the school via random examination.

Poll for the present examination was converted into Tamil and again translated back to English. All data identified with the history about present and past visual clutter (complaint, make use of spectacle and history of medical recommendation surgical and medical healing) and family history of RE (guardians, kin) were acquired from the youngsters with the assistance of an accomplished optometrist and pursued by visual assessment.

The remote vision of a children was examined by Snellen's outline at the separation of 6 meters, if the vision of youngster was $< 6/9$ in either eye, the pre-adult was announced to have imperfect vision and alluded to take auto refractometry to know the intensity of the focal point and arranged to the children with emmetropia, hypermetropia, myopia, and astigmatism. If it is not enhanced with the preliminary focal point and preliminary edge have alluded to the medical clinic that required assessment by an ophthalmologist.

Results:

In our study, the total of children screened was 1745, the frequency of RE was found to be (17.25%) 301 children, and (82.75%) 1444 children had normal vision VA= 6/6 or better. Myopia was the most prevalent of total REs, monitored by astigmatism and hypermetropia, the frequency REs were observed myopia was (63.8%), astigmatism (27.6%), and hypermetropia (8.6%).

Out of 1745 school children who were examined, of which the children having RE constituted 73% of the sample population. Among them, 220 could not understand the reason behind actual blurred vision, because they were not got given chance to check his/ her vision in the hospital/

school camps, and also they were not prescribed with spectacles for correcting their vision, however they learned they acquired the knowledge that they were diagnosed with RE during the day of examination had RE. Besides that, over 81 children (27%) were prescribed spectacles previously and only 9 children (3%) were already wearing old spectacles during the day of examination (Table 1), the reasons of (24%) 72 children remain children were not used spectacles, might be credited to the absence of attention to the parent, spectacle cost, cosmetic appearance (children did not like wearing eye glasses), and concerns behind using glasses could exemplify the actual development of RE.

Table 1: Use spectacle by children

Characteristics	Frequency, n (%)	Using spectacle, n (%)
Yes	81 (4.64)	9 (3)
No	220 (12.60)	0 (0)

Discussion:

In this present study, a total of 1745 children were screened, but only 301 children were diagnosed with RE. The research exposed that 73% cases of RE has perceived through the research (the day of examination) before they didn't checked eye examination and were not prescribed with a spectacle, because they had not chance to check eye examination. This might be attributed to the fact that the children might be considered with a lesser acceptance for subjecting them for examination and are supposed to replicate their absence of attentiveness and the actual importance in taking prior precaution, followed by services for eye examination among juvenile population happens to be few amongst our society. The Factors which are liable for appalling take-up of RE administration could be imposed on both societal and financial, yet the extent of youngsters who had an eye assessment in the present investigation and recommended spectacle was found in 27% of childrens, Only 3% of children were previously wearing spectacle during the day were analyzed, Also wearing spectacles once in a while could also act as a possible reason for visual impairment related complications as individual detest social belittling, yet 24%

of children were not using spectacles. This is however found to be much higher than the study reported in rural part of India ⁽¹⁷⁾ accounting only 0.58%, and the research done by school childrens in Agona District of the central region of Ghana ⁽¹⁸⁾ accounting for 0.3% and 2.7% among research done in South Africa ⁽¹⁹⁾, but less than 30.3% among students in Tanzania ⁽²⁰⁾, 42.3% reported done in Egypt. ⁽²¹⁾ Our study involving genetic proposition amongst juvenile population who were not wearing glasses appeared quite higher and was similar to the studies conducted in rural china ⁽²²⁾. As described by Wedner SH et al, nearly 30.3% of student with REs wearing spectacles in secondary school of Mwanza, Tanzania ⁽²³⁾, which is similar to the study done in Maharashtra ⁽²⁴⁾, also similar outcomes were identified from the research conducted by Rahman et al, in Dibrugarh, Assam ⁽²⁵⁾, and Kumar et al ⁽²⁶⁾. From the inferred literature studies, majority of them reported with great percentage of subjects who were not wearing spectacles and ignoring the impact of RE complications without correcting their vision. There is need of educating our people about effective strategies toward better management of RE by given that the school-based visualization broadcast, qualities of optometric service, and reasonable spectacles and the reasons might be ascribed to the absence of attention to the parent or about refractive mistake of testing their children vision and youngsters who get such an open door are not many, and just those whose condition are so serious as to be seen by the guardians or educators play out an ophthalmologist assessment, frames of mind with respect to the requirement for displays, scene cost, restorative presence and worry that using spectacles can cause movement of RE ⁽²⁷⁾. This may be replicate social stigmatization of glasses, or maybe they have some misconceptions regarding wearing eye glasses at young ages as it is associated or may lead to blindness late.

Vision screening, can be valuable for discovery asymptomatic visual issue, additionally, it is a suitable technique to decrease vision deficiency is lead to RE, for which devotement is basic and cheap. Vision screening and refractive services for school children have been recommended by WHO (world health organization) as a useful strategy for prevention of avoidable childhood blindness ⁽²⁸⁾.

Since most children with insurrected RE are asymptomatic, transmission helps in initial diagnosis and appropriate involvements. Therefore this strategy has been found to be a very useful measure. In this research, we can achieve that RE is a substantial reason of visual impairment

between school children in Thiruvallur district, Tamil Nadu. We accordingly mention them that the approaches of cost-effective for vision screening of school children should integrate with the school fitness programmer to prevent destructive influence of visual impairment on a child's learning and expansion school teachers should also be educated and identification of subjects, It has been proposed that vision screening be regularly completed at school entrance midway via school and at the conclusion of primary education to enhance initial exposure and handling of eye disease ⁽²⁹⁾. This can be achieved if school eye health programs become incorporated into primary health care as done in immunization programs. Government and Non-governmental organizations can sponsor school eye health programs in the same way that vaccination programs are sponsored, especially among children with low vision acuity, hence RE and to guide parents to find appropriate medical advice at earliest. Parents of school children should be counseled about the significance of timely follow up and probability of progression of myopia and importance of visual hygiene. This is because the RE is a curable cause of visual impairment, in many cases by mere glasses ⁽³⁰⁾. Moreover, it mostly appears in earlier age than other extensive causes of visual impairment and is answerable for higher amount of sightless ages survived by an individual than most other causes if left not corrected.

The objective of this paper is to identify children with a RE as soon as possible and to offer them spectacle, as maximum REs may be modified in earlier lifetime and visual impairment has destructive influence on teaching and expansion in a youngster's life, practical techniques to kill this effectively treatable reason for visual impairment are justified (Khalaj et al 2009) ³¹.

Conclusion:

Among government school children, especially in higher secondary level, RE is found to be responsible for visual impairment. There is need of educating students, teachers, and parents about effective strategies toward better management of RE, vision Screening and spectacle alteration to avoid expansion of visual impairment. Vision screening and refractive service for school children have been recommended by WHO (World Health Organization) as a useful strategy for prevention of avoidable childhood blindness. We accordingly suggested them that the strategies of cost-effectiveness for vision screening of school childrens should be incorporate in the school fitness curriculum to prevent the negative impact of visual impairment in children.

References:

1. Maharaj, Y. I., Naidoo, K.S., Dabideen, R., & Ramson, P. (2011). The KwaZulu-Natal child eye care programme; delivering refractive error services to primary school learners. *The South African Optometrist*, 70, 61-68.
2. Muthy GV, Gupta SK, Ellwein LB, Munoz SR, Pokharel GP, Sanga L, et al. Refractive errors in children in an urban population in Delhi. *Investigative Ophthalmol Visual Sci*. 2002; 43: 62331.
3. Dandona R, Dandona L, Srinivas M, Sahare P, Narsaiah S, Munoz SR, et al. Refractive error in children in a rural population in India. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2002; 43:615-22.
4. He M, Zeng J, Liu Y, Xu J, Pokharel GP, Ellwein LB. Refractive error and visual impairment in urban children in southern china. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004; 45:793-9.
5. Odedra N, Wedner SH, Shigongo ZS, Nyalali K, Gilbert C. Barriers to Spectacle Use in Tanzanian Secondary School Students. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2008; 15(6):410-7.
6. Congdon N, Zheng M, Sharma A, Choi K, Song Y, Zhang M, et al. Prevalence and determinants of spectacle nonwear among rural Chinese secondary schoolchildren: the Xichang Pediatric Refractive Error Study Report 3. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2008; 126:1717-23.
7. Desai S, Desai R, Desai NC, Lohiya S. School eye health appraisal. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 1989; 37: 7375.
8. WHO_PBL_00.77.pdf. [Cited 2015 May 25].
9. Thyelfors B. A global initiative for the elimination of avoidable blindness. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1998;125:90-3
10. Pararajasegaram R. VISION 2020-the right to sight: From strategies to action. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1999; 128:359-60?
11. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. National Health Profile: Central Bureau of Health Intelligence. New Delhi: Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 2005. p. 110011.

12. Kalikivayi V, Naduvilath TJ, Bansal AK, Dandona L. Visual impairment in school children in Southern India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 1997; 45:129-34.
13. Jose R, Sachdeva S. School eye screening and the National Program for Control of Blindness. *Indian Pediatr.* 2009 Mar; 46(3):205–8.
14. Foster PJ, Jiang Y. Epidemiology of myopia. *Eye [Internet]*. 2014 Feb [cited 2015 Aug 26]; 28(2):202–8.
15. Thylefors B. Avoidable blindness. *Bull World Health Organ.* 1999; 77:453
16. WHO | Global magnitude of visual impairment caused by uncorrected refractive errors in 2004 [Internet]. WHO. [Cited 2015 Jun 25].
17. Dandona R, Dandona L, Srinivas M, et al. Refractive error in children in a rural population in India. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2002; 43(3):615–622.
18. Ovenseri-Ogbomo GO, Assien R. Refractive Error in School Children in AgonaSwedru. *S AfrOptom* (submitted).
19. Naidoo K, Raghunandan A, Mashige K, Govender P, Holden B, et al. (2003) Refractive Error and Visual Impairment in African Children in South Africa. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 44: 3764-3770.
20. Wedner SH, Ross DA, Todd J, Anemona A, Balira R, Foster A. Myopia in Secondary School Students in Mwanza City, Tanzania: The Need for a National Screening Programme. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2002; 86:1200–1206. (Available online at: <http://bjo.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/86/11/1200> Accessed March 28, 2007).
21. El-Bayoumy BM, Saad A, Choudhury AH. Prevalence of Refractive Error and Low Vision among School Children in Cairo. *E Med Health J.* 2007; 13(3):575–579.
22. Maul E, Barroso S et al. Refractive error study in children, results from La Florida, Chile *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2000, 129: 445-454.
23. Zhao J, Pan X et al. Refractive error study in children, results from Shunyi District, China *Am J Ophthalmol*, 2000, 129: 427-435.
24. Mathenge C, et al. Rapid Assessment of Avoidable Blindness in Western Rwanda: Blindness in a Postconflict Setting *Plos Medicine*, 2007, 4(7): 217.
25. Deshpande JD, Malathi K. Prevalence of ocular morbidities among school children in rural area of north Maharashtra in India. *National J Community Med.* 2011; 2:249-54.

26. Kumar KS, Akoijam BS. Prevalence of refractive error among school-going children of Imphal, Manipur. *Int J Med Sci Public Health*. 2016; 5:45-50.
27. He M, Xu J, Yin W, Ellwein LB. Need and challenges of refractive correction in urban Chinese school children. *Optom Vis Sci*. 2005; 82:229–34.
28. World Health Organization. Elimination of avoidable visual disability due to refractive error Report of an informal planning meeting. pp. 6–10. WHO/PBL/00.79.
29. Opubiri I, Pedro-Egbe CN. Screening of Primary School Children for Refractive Error in South-South Nigeria. *Ethiop J of Health Sci*. 2012; 22(2): 129–134.
30. Kawuama M, Mayeku R. Prevalence of Refractive errors among children in lower primary School in Kampala district. *Afr Health Sci* 2002; 2:69–72.
31. Khalaj M, Gasemi M and Zeidi I (2009): Prevalence of refractive errors in primary school children [7-15 years] of Qazvin City. *European Journal of Scientific Research*; 1450-216X, 28 (2): 174-185.